

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Examiner's Action of March 28, 2005, has been received and reviewed by counsel for Assignee. In that Action claims 19 and 20 were objected to, and all other claims were rejected. In the Action Remarks, the Examiner indicated that claims 19 and 20 would be allowable if rewritten to incorporate the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. By this response counsel has made such an amendment.

In particular, all pending claims have been canceled, and new claims 56-65 are submitted herewith. Claim 56 corresponds to former claim 19 rewritten in independent form to include the limitations of claim 14, the claim from which it depended. Accordingly, new claim 56 is believed to patentably distinguish the cited references.

New claims 57-59 correspond to former claims 15-17 which also depended from claim 14. They have now been rewritten to depend from new claim 56. Because, for the reasons discussed above, claim 56 is believed to patentably distinguish the cited references, the new dependent claims 57-60 also are believed to patentably distinguish the cited references.

New claim 61 corresponds to former claim 20 rewritten to incorporate the limitations of claim 14, which is the claim from which it depended. As noted by the Examiner in the Action, claim 61 is therefore believed to patentably distinguish the cited references. Claims 62-65 depend from claim 61. For at least that reason, they are believed to also patentably distinguish the cited references.

Accordingly, new claims 56-65 are believed to patentably distinguish the cited references and be in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner have any questions, please telephone the undersigned at 650-324-6303 (direct).

Respectfully submitted,



Robert C. Colwell
Reg. No. 27,431

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-3834
Tel: 650-326-2400
Fax: 415-576-0300
RCC:mks
60521340 v1